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Abstract—We report on an innovative, fabric-based conformable, and easily fabricated electroceutical wound dressing that inhibits bacterial biofilm infections and shows significant promise for healing chronic wounds. Cyclic voltammetry demonstrates the ability of the electroceutical to produce reactive oxygen species, primarily HOCl that is responsible for bacterial inhibition. An investigation with the lawn biofilm grown on a soft tissue mimic assay shows the efficacy of the dressing against both Gram-positive and Gram-negative bacteria in the biofilm form. In vivo, the printed electroceutical dressing was utilized as an intervention treatment for a canine subject with a non-healing wound due to a year-long persistent polymicrobial infection. The clinical case study with the canine subject exhibited the applicability in a clinical setting with the results showing infection inhibition within 11 days of initial treatment. This printed electroceutical dressing was integrated with a Bluetooth® enabled circuit allowing remote monitoring of the current flow within the wound bed. The potential to monitor wounds remotely in real-time with a Bluetooth® enabled circuit proposes a new physical biomarker for management of infected, chronic wounds. [2020-0129]
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I. INTRODUCTION

CHRONIC or non-healing wounds present a major healthcare burden on the US with an estimated $25 billion in cost for nearly 6.5 million human patients per year [1]. While there is limited data available for animal populations, chronic wound management in domestic and livestock animals are estimated to cost an additional $1.1 billion by 2021 with an expected 7% increase per year [2]. In human populations, 60% of chronic wounds have biofilm infections making them recalcitrant to state-of-art treatment, including antibiotics [3]–[5]. In the biofilm form, bacteria form ‘protective shields’ comprising of extracellular polymeric substances (EPS) generated by the bacterial cells which subsequently encapsulate the bacteria [6]. The EPS matrix promotes adhesion to both the wound surface and between bacterial cells, forming a 3D structure that protects the bacteria from antimicrobials and host immune defenses [6].

The EPS matrix also alters the nutrient and chemical gradients within the wound environment, providing additional defenses that make eradicating biofilms a difficult challenge, even for the current state-of-art treatment methods [7], [8].

Recently, electroceutical dressings that apply potential gradients to induce electric fields and may permit electrical current flow have become an emerging method for inhibition of bacterial biofilms [9], [10]. However, the commercially available electroceutical dressings lack a mechanistic understanding of the treatment outcomes. Commercially available and approved by the food and drug administration (FDA), passive dressings such as Arthrex and Procelera apply electric fields to the wound but have no current flow [9]. These dressings use the silver (Ag)-zinc (Zn) redox couple organized as electrically discontinuous dots. The redox couple is activated when a conducting fluid (e.g., saline or wound fluid) contacts the metal pattern on a polyester fabric to generate a measurable open circuit potential. In the presence of an adequate redox potential, reactive oxygen species (ROS) such as hydrogen peroxide (H2O2) may be generated and act as antimicrobials for shallow or thin infection layers (< 0.25 mm) that may occur in wound beds [9].

On the other hand, direct current (DC) has proven to have inhibitory action against planktonic bacteria in systems that are both static and flowing [10], [11]. There have also been displays of the treatment efficacy of DC against bacterial biofilms of Pseudomonas aeruginosa [16] and Staphylococcus epidermidis [12], but many of these past studies used liquid media to study biofilms that is not representative of wounds, containing a soft tissue bed over which the biofilm forms. Our lawn biofilm grown on a soft tissue mimic assay provided a significant methodological advance in developing tools for analyzing the mechanistic actions of our printed electroceutical dressing (PED) [13]. In the present study we report the...
bacterial inhibitory mechanisms and efficacy of our device both in vitro and in vivo with a new physical biomarker proposed with the potential to integrate real-time, remote wound monitoring.

II. FABRICATION

Our novel, printed electroceutical dressing was made by a facile silk-screen printing process for use on flexible substrates like fabric. The pattern screen was fabricated by coating a 196 amber polymer mesh with a photoactive polymer (Speedball Diazo Photo Emulsion). The screen was flood exposed using a 200 W clear incandescent bulb for 70 minutes showing the relatively simple infrastructure needed for PED fabrication. The photo-emulsion was then rinsed using regular, laboratory-grade filtered water, revealing the visible PED pattern (Fig. 1A). The nominal dimensions of the anode and cathode were 5 mm and 3 mm width with a 1 mm separation arranged in an interdigitated pattern to maximize electrode coverage over the 7.5 cm x 7.5 cm substrate. Next, the PED pattern was screen-printed by using a medically compatible Ag/AgCl ink (Creative Materials #113-09) onto habotai silk substrates (Fig. 1B). Silk-based materials are commonly used for wound dressings [14] and the habotai weave provides a breathable, lightweight fabric with accepted biocompatibility [15]. The screen-printing process is fast and enables high throughput [16] but also leads to differences in nominal vs. actual electrode sizes. The absorption of the ink onto a porous substrate combined with dispersion along the substrate lead to measured anode and cathode widths to be 5.5 mm and 4.0 mm (Fig. 1C) with an uneven (< 1 mm) spacing between the electrodes. Each electrode set is manually checked with a handheld multimeter before use to confirm that the entire electrical pattern is continuous without electrical shorts. The printed electrodes were then epoxied to the battery pack with two 3V batteries in series and a current limiting 1 k\(\Omega\) resistor (Fig 1D). Medical tape was then used to cover the circuit connections and also provide a fluid and electrical isolation layer leaving the battery pack switch and the electrodes accessible for use. The microstructure of the printed Ag/AgCl ink is seen in the scanning electron microscopy (SEM) images in figures 1E and 1F.

III. RESULTS

A. Soft Tissue Mimic Assay

In vitro investigation displays biofilm inhibition as a result of PED operation using our lawn biofilm soft tissue assay [13]. For direct analysis of the antimicrobial activity of the PED, two geometrically simplified electrodes (3 mm wide x 8 cm long, separated by 3 cm) were embedded within agar on which 24 hour biofilm lawns of bioluminescent strains of Pseudomonas aeruginosa Xen-41 (PA) or Staphylococcus aureus SAP231 (SA) (two common pathogens found in infected wounds representing both Gram-negative and...
The PED provides an opportunity to reduce the need for visual inspections and provide quantitative wound healing metrics. Therefore, we propose a new physical biomarker for healing.

An initial in vitro test with the soft tissue assay demonstrated Bluetooth® integration of the PED with an Adafruit Feather 32u4 Bluefruit that records and transmits up to 20 ft real-time current monitoring (Fig 4). An Arduino IDE was used to measure the voltage drop across a known ballast resistor (10 kΩ). This measured voltage drop was pushed out via Bluetooth®, on the Adafruit Feather 32u4, to an Android application for a readout on a mobile phone. The reported current was post processed for a calculated current through the ballast resistor. The internal resistance of the Bluetooth® enabled monitoring circuit is lower than the resistance of the agar gel assay during a direct measurement leading to a higher recorded current than when measured directly (Fig 5) using an ammeter due to the direct connections with shorter, silver wires for the smartphone enabled circuit. However, the trend over time is the same and may be sufficient for real-time wound monitoring.

C. Cyclic Voltammetry

To further analyze the electrochemical reactions and ROS produced by the PED, cyclic voltammetry (CV) of the PED within the soft tissue agar assay was performed (Fig 6). The electric potential sweep started at −2 V and switched sweeping direction upon reaching +2 V at a scan rate of 200 mV/s. It has been previously reported that at an applied electrode potential of 1.38 V, HOCl may be generated as the primary ROS [11].
Fig. 4. The capability of the PED to be connected to a Bluetooth circuit for wound monitoring. The PED was embedded in the agar gel to measure the current flow via the Bluetooth circuit with the data read out displayed on the electronic device (cellular phone).

Fig. 5. The Adafruit Feather 32u4 Bluefruit module with Arduino IDE and picoammeter current measurements recorded over time while the PED was embedded into the agar gel.

At an applied potential of \(-0.6\) V, \(\text{H}_2\text{O}_2\) is produced [15]. The flow of current present at these two potentials with our system shows that by altering the electrode potential, we can control the ROS produced.

The maximum and minimum current peaks present in Figure 6 are asymmetrical in their magnitudes and applied potential with respect to the zero-applied potential. This asymmetric shape to the CV profile indicates that the electrochemical treatment of biofilms grown on agar is electrochemically irreversible i.e., non-Nernstian [18]. The irreversibility indicates that the concentration of species needed to produce ROS are the limiting steps for the electrochemical reaction rates and not the transport of the species to and from the electrodes. Due to this non-Nernstian response, cyclic voltammetry is not an ideal technique to quantitatively evaluate \(\text{HOCl}\) concentrations even though the actively flowing current measured indicates the production of the ROS at their respective potentials [18].

D. Canine Case Study

In vivo, the PED was used in a clinical case study as an experimental treatment for the therapeutic intervention on a non-healing and chronically infected wound. The wound was on the left front leg of a canine subject. Originally, the wound presented with a polymicrobial infection that was treated with open wound management for fifteen days followed by a skin graft and a negative pressure dressing as part of the state-of-art wound care at Ohio State’s small animal clinic in the College of Veterinary Medicine. Significant topical antibiotics were used to treat a persistent infection with the overall treatment and wound management lasting over a year. The wound was found to be non-healing with a continued polymicrobial infection. A tissue culture from punch biopsy revealed the infection contained primarily \(\text{Staphylococcus pseudintermedius}\) and \(\text{Streptococcus canis}\). At this stage, as an experimental treatment, the PED (Fig. 1) was connected to a 6V battery with a ballast resistor limiting the peak current to 0.6 mA. After 11 days of PED treatment, a punch biopsy revealed no pathologically detected wound infection. The canine was released to in-home care with no additional treatment other than standard open wound care recommended with no topical or systemic antimicrobials. The next clinical inspection was possible (since the subject’s home city was hours away) only 67 days later when the canine subject was brought back to the clinic for visual wound inspection showing complete healing of the wound. (Fig 7).

IV. DISCUSSION

The \textit{in vitro} investigations of the PED reveal bacterial inhibition to be primarily over the anode for both Gram-positive and Gram-negative bacteria. This localized inhibition motivates the design of the electrodes to favor a large anode (Fig. 1). The positive potential held at the anode favors the production of \(\text{HOCl}\) [11] responsible for the bacterial clearance displayed...
in both *Staphylococcus aureus* and *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* biofilms [13]. The bacterial inhibition observed at the cathode may be due to the antimicrobial action of H$_2$O$_2$, a ROS that is favored at negative potentials [17], and also likely to be produced within our system. H$_2$O$_2$ has also been shown to be an effective agent against *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* [19]. However, *Staphylococcus aureus* recovers from the initial oxidative stress that is induced by H$_2$O$_2$ and the cells continue to grow as if they were untreated [20].

Persistent infection creates clinical complications in wound healing. For example, the polymicrobial infection present in a canine wound was not removed to allow natural healing processes to induce wound closure despite state-of-art wound care through open wound management, topical and systemic antimicrobial medications, a skin graft, and a negative pressure dressing. Following initial treatment and with mounting veterinary care costs, the canine was released to in-home care with the recommendation of open wound management. However, the presence of continued infection opposed the effectiveness of these treatments, evident in the non-healing state of the wound one year later. As noted in the results section, a punch biopsy revealed continuation of the polymicrobial infection with Gram-positive bacteria *Staphylococcus pseudintermedius* and *Streptococcus canis* present. The PED, which now is known to be safe for use in humans [21], demonstrated efficacy in removing persistent infection and leading to complete wound closure presents a new clinically viable method for infected, chronic wound management.

In a new finding, we developed and reported on the use of electrical current as a potential parameter to monitor wound healing. The implementation of this technology was demonstrated *in vitro* with the PED embedded in the agar gel assay without the lawn-biofilm. Damaged or broken skin allows a DC potential to be generated by the ‘skin battery’ due to the lowered resistance created by the presence of the electrically conducting wound-fluids in comparison to intact skin [22]. Moreover, dry and unbroken skin behaves as a construct with high resistance to flow of electrical current with reported resistance ranging from 10 kΩ to 1 MΩ [23], [24]. The integration of Bluetooth-enabled current monitoring circuit with the PED allows additional wound evaluation methods to be incorporated. Such a method may be complementary to measurements of trans-epidermal water loss (TEWL) in quantifying the barrier function of healed skin. TEWL is a quantitative evaluation of wound closure and the restoration of skin barrier function [25]. In our initial *in vitro* tests, we were able to measure current flow in the gel-plate representative of tissue up to 20 feet away from the gel-plate. The current profile was recorded on a mobile phone app and is then easily transmitted to clinicians. The Adafruit Feather 32u4 Bluefruit circuit requires the addition of a resistor and battery to transmit current/voltage data to the mobile device to which it’s paired. Given such components presently compose the circuit of the PED, Bluetooth monitoring of the wound is a viable option. If such a technology can be developed and validated for clinical use, the use of a simple physical parameter based on direct measurement of electrical current within the wound bed can be an easily monitored parameter for state of wound healing without active visual inspections by trained medical professionals. Clearly, such validation is beyond the scope of this paper and is part of our on-going efforts.

V. CONCLUSION

We report on a novel, printed electroceutical dressing that inhibits biofilms and has a potential to provide physical metrics for real-time wound monitoring. Screen printing Ag/AgCl ink onto silk substrates generates a fast and inexpensive fabrication process for high throughput. Through the connection of a 6V battery and current limiting resistor, the PED showed efficacy in the soft tissue biofilm assay due to the production of ROS. HOCl is the main contributor to the antimicrobial effect we observe primarily over the anode. The PED was used to treat a chronic wound *in vivo* in a canine subject.
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